

D 0133

1 . 1

143, Mar. 5, 1952

5. The negative feature of axial religion: its denial of the natural history of man (65, bottom, top of 66). More on this w. Becker & Jung.

b. each generation must start all over again from scratch -- indeed, each individual; the results of axial religion are not cumulative. Conversion to a new self is always inescapably individual.

Thus there is demanded

"a persistent exertion of effort greater than that needed to maintain the sluggish processes of civilization" (70).

c. sectarianism: inner division

or failure of the great religions to amalgamate

ironic because of the profession of universalism

and bec. of the similarity of teaching (Mt 6. 25-34)

d. ~~it~~ could not stand prosperity,

drew to themselves the wealth

or powers

or prerogatives

of the state;

prospered only in adversity & suffering

e. overemphasis on the spiritual,

rejecting too much that is needed

for full human growth (connected w. a)

p. 75,  
"Once the...  
new person..."

143, Mar 5, 2  
5, 2  
5, 2

f. indifference to social institutions:

p. 74: "the axial religions,  
preaching brotherhood, love, & peace,  
left untouched, or almost untouched,  
the practices of slavery, economic exploitation,  
& war: the grossest evils of civilization."

p. 75: "The radical division  
between community and individual soul,  
between earthly attachments & heavenly aspirations,  
between 'this world' and 'the other world,'  
was one of the great flaws of most axial theologies.  
By his exclusively inward orientation  
'Moral Man' gave scope, if not sanction,  
to 'Immoral Society.'"

cf. importance of  
our def'n of religion,  
denying supernaturalism,  
and, as we shall see,  
finding moments of ultimacy  
in secular domains of life.

These weaknesses lead to M's judgment, p. 79:

"Today, at the very moment when universal man  
clamours as never before to be born,  
the axial religions are almost as great an impediment  
to this birth as are self-enclosed tribal & national  
societies."

What axial religion has yet embodied, in charity & humility,  
the universality that its founder professed? "Yet they did  
contribute."

143, Mar. 5, 3

What has emerged  
fr. our study of M.

& will be reinforced by M.  
is that religion is <sup>both conditioned by</sup> ~~consequent upon~~ <sup>promotive</sup> and <sup>productive</sup> of  
~~upon~~ the secular development of man,  
adapts to that,

reinforces it,  
stabilizes it,  
both integrates it,  
& promotes it,  
then seems to freeze it.

a peculiar  
dialectical  
relationship.

Moving back to W:

Universality & individuality:

w. M, individual & v  
allows for a more universal  
community

W., p. 42, lists other char's of  
universality

that are connected w. individuality:

clarity of idea, generality of thought, moral  
respectability, survival power,  
& width of extension over the world.

1. But the emergence of the ego, especially in its capacity for thinking, or what Whitehead calls rationalism (not used pejoratively).

Individuality  
& Rationality

C. Social Religion as Rational

Feb. 27, 1  
Mar. 8, 3

↳ clarity of idea; generality of thought, moral respectability.

At this point, 2 things:

- not only do we find the individual becoming the heart of religious importance,
- but also we discover that thinking insists on organizing religious beliefs into an internally coherent system.

survival power, width of extension over the world.

Religion as consequent upon the secular dev. of man.

So we find the origins of rational religion connected with the progressive realization of individuality, and with the introduction of the note of solitariness.

Emotion, it seems,

can be collective,

but questioning, & thus intellectuality & rationality & knowledge, cannot be.

Mar. 5, '41

143, ~~Feb. 20, '41~~

2. Individuality and suffering: reason & the uses  
B. Returning to p. 19,

~~KKKK~~

we see one consequence of this introduction of solitariness:  
"It belongs to the depth of the religious spirit  
to have felt forsaken, even by God."

The emergence of individuality, for its own sake, <sup>represented in the myths of the heroes</sup>  
does include a moment of utter aloneness,  
where the individual feels abandoned  
by the domain of the gods,  
the paradise-like condition of unity  
with the All  
which precedes the emergence of individual  
consciousness.

There is a separation

of oneself from

the system of the cosmos, from the roots of nature,  
that seems to attend all

individuation

up to the point

where the ego has consolidated itself  
as an individual, unique reality in  
its own right.

~~KKKK~~ consciousness at this point

becomes awful, burdensome,  
and includes ~~it becomes~~ the awareness that

"Strait is the gate and narrow the way  
that leads to life,  
and few there are that find it."

A statement reflecting the delicacy of  
the path of individuation,  
of avoiding the pitfalls of splitness <sup>↳ opposite</sup> and inundation.

143, Feb. 28, 1933

3. Brief historical survey (Bible)

SKIP

4. Whitehead extends this epoch back further

1. than our own analysis did,  
but he does emphasize that in Europe  
it has been a matter of about the past 2000 years  
of history, which agrees  
with our analysis.

He traces the note of progressive solitariness in the Bible,  
in a fashion similar to ours,  
though omitting <sup>for now</sup> the Wisdom literature,  
where, I believe,

this note becomes most predominant in the Hebrew Bible,  
because of the problem of individual suffering  
with which these books deal,

2. and because of the celebration  
of the importance of very ordinary individuals.

But the same elements that we have noted  
recur in Whitehead's analysis:

"I desired mercy, and not sacrifice;  
and the knowledge of God  
more than burnt offerings" (Hosea),

a movement away from tribal custom  
to direct individual response;

the shedding of exclusively  
communal aspects of religion,  
the rise of the individual as the religious unit,  
the significance of individual prayer  
reaching for and attaining  
individual insight.

143, Feb. 20<sup>74</sup><sub>15</sub>

The judgments passed by the innovators (individual rel.)  
on previous forms of religion (collective rel.)  
are very severe:

the condemnation of idolatry stands out in the prophets,  
and even a condemnation of Israel's own worship  
when it is not accompanied by  
an individual change of heart.

Collective religion, it seems,

is uncritical religion, i.e., not tutored by reason,  
and it is uncritical religion  
that is responsible for the horrors  
perpetrated in the name of religion. (36, list)

Anything collective

runs the risk of the loss of individual responsibility,  
and the latter has now become the heart of religious  
importance.

143, Feb. 20, '6

7, 5  
464. The systematizing tendency  
of rational and progressively individualized religion  
is defined on p. 30:

"Rational religion is religion  
whose beliefs and rituals  
have been reorganized  
with the aim of making it  
the central element  
in a coherent ordering of life --  
an ordering  
which shall be coherent  
both in respect to the elucidation of thought,  
and in respect to the direction of conduct  
towards a unified purpose  
commanding ethical approval."

a. Thus, as the individual  
becomes more acutely aware  
of himself <sup>awful</sup>  
and of the responsibility he has assumed  
by willing to be individual,  
he <sup>first</sup> discriminates this concern  
from other concerns,  
and makes it central in his thoughts and actions,  
and organizes thought and action  
around the questions this concern inspires.

b. But as he does so, of course, the systematizing strengthens the  
his religion can no longer be collective, <sup>individuality.</sup>  
must be individual, <sup>because he is</sup>  
and must be at the heart of <sup>no longer</sup>  
this central concern of his, <sup>collective</sup>  
for religion has always been  
at the heart of ultimate concern,  
and

143, Feb. 20, 1916

Now his ultimate concern  
is his own life, its meaning and value,  
and so his religion  
becomes the reorganization  
of his beliefs  
to suit this concern.

Such reorganizations will necessarily  
be more rational  
and systematized  
than the previous organization,  
for what has been responsible  
for his own emergence as an individual  
has been his own rationality  
with its capacities for ever further questions.

His religion must either become rational itself  
or be abandoned,  
as the rational individual  
emerges from the herd.

Because he cannot, at least yet,  
surrender the sensitivity to ultimacy  
or "the All"

that has always been religion's concern,  
and because he knows the precariousness  
of ~~his~~ <sup>his</sup> own individuality  
and the pitfalls of missing the way now  
he knows he is in it,  
facing him,

that he cannot abandon  
the religious concern which still justifies him,  
and so, rather than surrendering it,  
he systematizes, orders, organizes it.

143, Feb. 23, 1

C. Whitehead has more to say  
in this 1<sup>st</sup> chapter  
about how rational religion orders & organizes  
its beliefs, and arrives at its critical capacity vis-a-  
Such Religion, he says (31), vis the earlier forms  
of religion.  
enjoys a peculiar position  
of combining the abstract and the concrete,  
the general and the specific,  
into one, into a unity,  
and in this way  
it stands between abstract metaphysics  
and the <sup>particular</sup> ~~practical~~ principles  
applying only to some experiences,  
i.e. common sense.

Religious insight  
arises out of "a small selection  
from the common experience of the race,"  
what I have called "moments of ultimacy,"  
and to this extent  
religion is one  
among other  
specialized interests of mankind."

On this account,  
we can speak of a separate  
differentiation of human consciousness  
which deals with the religious,  
the realm of meaning which Louergan  
calls "transcendence"

143, Feb. 23, 2

## Transcendence

is not common sense,

not theory,

not art,

not scholarship,

not the realm of my knowers

by modern phil & deep psycholgy  
(interiority),

though quite germane to the latter.

It is beyond e.s. & theory & interiority, even interiority.

Interiority is the realm  
in which I know myself.

Transcendence is the realm  
in which I know something  
I experience as other than myself.

Yet, says Fr.,

despite its particularity,

religion tends to claim a general validity,  
a pertinence that is universal.

I.e., it claims that its insights,

while derived from special experiences,

can be applied by faith to the ordering of all experience.

E.g., Mt 6. 25-34,

or even the Sermon in its entirety.

Religion, then,

tends to focus on what we might call

the concrete universal.

As universal, it is metaphysical.

Whoever seeks to  
save his life will lose it,  
What does it profit  
a man...?

143, Feb. 23, 3

But as concrete,

it arises from and is directly pertinent to single experiences,

w/o, it seems,

getting bogged down or stopped

in the abstractness that is necessary  
for its universal validity.

The concrete universality  
is expressed on p. 21:  
"The doctrines of rational  
religion aim at being  
that metaphysics  
(universal) which can  
be derived from the  
super-normal exp.  
of mankind in  
its moments  
of finest  
insight"  
(concrete)

Q. d. We have already seen (p. 26) how religion, in the  
stage of belief, promoted human progress  
by giving rising to thoughts  
beyond mere immediacy

and biological necessity.

Whitehead points to a further

contribution to human progress  
made by rational religion,

in that it capitalized on,  
exploited,

and developed yet further

even more general ideas & ethical intuitions

which had arisen in human es

as a result of definite crises in  
development.

Rational religion <sup>depended on and</sup> stabilized these ideas

in a form which could be recalled  
& communicated,

143, Feb. 23, 4

and in so doing contributed  
to their effectiveness.

He gives the eg. (p. 33)

of mercy: "You can only speak of mercy,  
among a people who, in some  
respects, are already merciful."

Religion, again, in the origin of its new forms,  
seems to

reflect what is already going on  
in human consciousness,  
providing some kind

of ultimate significance

to a drama that is fundamentally  
human,

stabilizing that drama,

giving it some kind of permanent  
significance

and ultimate validity,

in a sense blessing it,

saying that it's OK that

you are developing in this way.

In this way,

it can contribute to human progress  
by securing what is always a very  
precarious enterprise,

the development of human consciousness.

143, Feb. 23, 5

It is only when it tends to  
absolutize these developments  
into immutable forms  
that religions will tend <sup>again</sup> to bog down  
into inertial routine  
and will block, rather than further,  
development. This what even rational religion  
has done in our time

# This development of very general ideas (cf. p. 43)  
that rational religion in its origins  
furthered and encouraged  
is a late development in the history of man (pp. 33 f.)  
Whitehead traces this to

the nature of language,  
which is a very limited vehicle of expression.  
It is limited, he says,  
to "expressing such ideas  
as have been frequently entertained,  
and urgently needed,  
by the group of human beings  
who developed that mode of speech," (33)  
and it was only late in human history  
that the need for  
and entertainment of  
general ideas

143, Feb. 23, '6

became prevalent.

" It took ages for [the brains of men]  
to develop first the appliances  
and then the habits

which made generality of thought  
possible and prevalent.

( fill in with "early language" from MIT ) --- ?

143, Feb. 23, 7

2) At any rate,  
this combined development  
of cs & language  
led to the religious fact  
noted by H. on p. 34:

"A rational generality was introduced  
into the religious ideas;  
and the myth, when retained,  
was reorganized with the  
intention of making it  
an account of verifiable historical circumstances  
which exemplified the general ideas  
with adequate perfection." (cf.  
possibly the mentality of  
the authors of early Genesis).

myths & ritual  
never depart  
from religion,  
they are only  
transformed in accord  
w. the dev. of the human  
mind

3) It is this rational generality  
that enabled the more or less  
realistic criticisms of earlier forms of religion  
passed by rational religion.

The more general your ideas  
and the more <sup>faculties</sup> theoretical your mind,  
the more you will be enabled to  
pass rational & critical judgment  
on the expressions of a less developed cs.

Egs from daily life make this clear: e.g., generation gap.

5. Pre-condition of rational religion:  
the detachment connected  
w. world-cs } sec. 6

6. Buddhism & Christianity in modern culture  
-- the need for something new. } sec. 7

Whitehead, Ch. 2, comes to the question

w. wh. we began reading him:  
does an emphasis on individuality  
detract from the communal reference  
& relevance  
of religion?

Far from this, says Mr. (as Jung & Louergan),  
"because it is universal,  
it (rational religion)  
introduces the note of solitariness."

The movement away from  
tribal & social religion  
is simultaneously toward individuality  
& universality.

One is the other, as w. Jung & Louergan.

The movement to universality

is, first,  
a movement in search of general principles,  
e.g., the explanation of great questions  
such as pain & suffering  
in terms which meet the facts.

Here I differ  
from W.  
on the relative  
priority of doctrine  
& fact

As exemplified in Christianity and Buddhism,  
this movement starts by admitting evil  
as inherent throughout the world,  
and seeks an answer to this problem  
wh. also will free one from it:  
for Christianity, overcome evil w. good;  
for Buddhism, gain a release fr. one's ind'l personality.

Or, another eg. of a general problem  
in search of general principles,  
is the search after wisdom.

Pp. 52 & 53 give 2 eg. of general prin's  
arrived at in this search.

This general problem, ~~unlike~~ unlike that of evil,  
shows the more mundane or less emotional  
side of rational religion:  
bec. it tends to be general,  
it must be verified at all emotional  
temperatures.

But, both of these eg. of rational religion  
have their origins in solitary individuals,  
who achieved piercing intuitions  
into the general nature of things  
precisely in their solitude.

In their solitude they asked the type of question  
wh. W. formulates on p. 59:

"What, in the way of value, is the attainment of life?"

And the answer they discovered,  
again in solitude,

involved a universal ideal

w. respect to which immediate occasions  
either failed or succeeded.

For both of them, "There is a rightness  
attained or missed,

w. more or less complete attainment or omission." (59)

This discovery is of a character  
permanently inherent in the nature of things,  
a character of permanent rightness,  
which, when it is met, leads to harmony,  
and, when not, to disharmony.

This disharmony is evil.

The intuition of this permanent character  
does not necessarily <sup>or usually</sup> involve  
any direct intuition or vision of God.

The existence of a divine personality,  
where it is acknowledged, as in  
Christianity,  
is affirmed by way of inference,  
not by direct intuition.

What is agreed on re: religious experience  
is a discovery by personal insight  
of a rightness in things,  
wh. is partially conformed to & partially disregarded.

Conformity to the rightness  
is the criterion for criticizing our choices  
& ends.

The rightness can be formulated in many ways,  
& the words are unimportant.

Even illiterate people w. little command of lg  
can ponder many things in their hearts  
wh. their lips cannot express,  
and it is these little things that count.