D0095

1... What are the specific problems of the stage of life called youth according to Jung? Of that stage called middle life? How does Jung understand these stages in terms of the relations between the ego and the unconscious?

"The Structure of the Psyche" (begin p. 27, "There are, as we know . . . ")

- 1. What evidence does Jung present that we ought not restrict everything psychic to to the ego?
- 2. What are the two basic areas which Jung differentiates in what he calls "the unconscious"?
- 3. How does Jung describe the language or expression of the collective unconscious? How does this differ from the expression of the personal unconscious? From that of the ego?
- 4. What are archetypes?
- 5. What are the relations obtaining between the expressions of the collective unconscious and the environmental conditions of the subject?
- 6. What is "participation mystique"?
- 7. What archotypes, according to Jung, constitute "the supreme regulating principles of religious and even of political life"? Explain.
- 8. As opposed to Freud, Jung says, "I have found that a rational understanding of these things in no way detracts from their value; on the contrary, it helps us not only to feel but to gain insight into their impense significance." Explain.
- 9. What implications does such a view have for Jung's evaluation of Catholicism?
- 10. What relation does Jung posit between religion and philosophy, on the one hand, and the collective unconscious on the other?

"Instinct and the Unconscious"

- 1. Jung says: "The question of instinct cannot be dealt with psychologically without considering the archetypes, because at bottom they determine one another." Explain the relation between instinct and archetype according to Jung. (Recommended supplementary reading: "On the Nature of the Psyche" in Vol. 8 of Jung's Collected Forks).
- 2. What distinguishes an instinct from a compulsion?
- 3. What in the connection of intuition with instinct and archetype?

"The Concept of the Collective Unconscious"

- 1. What are complexes? What is the essential difference between a complex and an archotype?
- 2. How many archetypes are there? Is there any way of determining an answer to this question?
- 3. How is an archetype activated? How can such activation spark a neurosis-i.e., under what conditions?
- 4. How are archetypos a priori?
- 5. In what way does Jung's notion of the collective unconscious differ from the positions of Freud and Adler on the psyche?
- 6. How does Jung counter the objection that the concept of the collective uncenscious is "mysticism"?
- 7. Why is it dangerous to deny the significance of archetypal notifs?
- 8. How are dream elements determined to be archetypal or not?

9. What is active imagination? How is it related to dreams?

"The Relations between the Ego and the Unconscious"

- 1. It is obvious that Jung's notion of the personal unconscious is also different from Freud's. In what respects is this the case?
- 2. What is the transference? What is its function?
- 3. What does Jung mean by calling the dream a highly objective product of the psyche?
- 4. In what way does the example arguing for a "collective unconscious? given in section 1 point to mattern of concern for the psychologist of religion? (N.B. suggested reading on the implicit religious significance of the transference: Ernest Becker, The Denial of Death, Ch. 7. "The Spell Cast by Persons—the Noxus of Unfreedom")
- 5. P. 78: "There is no a priori reason why it should not be just as possible that the unconscious tendencies have a goal beyond the human person, as that the unconscious can'do nothing byt wish.' Experience alone can decide which is the nore suitable hypothesis." How does this seeningly simply statement embody the ensonce of the departure of Jung from the Froudien point of view?
- 6. P. 80. Comment: Reflected in Jung's description of the God-image produced by the dreams of his patient is his pre-1940 idea that archetypes are an inherited deposit and thus "archaic" or "prinitive." In the light of his later work, "basic" or "elemental" would be more accurate than "archaic," "primordial" than "prinitive." Perhaps "aboriginal" would do. That this god-image is "infinitely far from the conscious idea of God" does not mean that it corresponds to "the archaic conception of a nature-daemon, which, after all, was also a conscious idea of God. It is also quite in "sceping with some elements of the Judaeo-Christian idea of God. It seems to reflect, e.g., "Hide me in the shedow of your wings." Inacdiately, then, Jung goes to Hebrew and Christian notions to describe it further: "God is spirit," ruah, wind, breath. The problem may rather have been with the conscious idea of God.
- 7. The assimilation of repressed contents of the personal unconscious, pp. 80f. Jung is here dealing with the integration of the personal shadow, and the description he gives of it is well worth serious attention. It is probably one of the best descriptions—in—brief in all his work of this aspect of individuation. Necessarily involved in cgo-development is the exclusion of certain aspects of the personality. These aspects contribute to the fornation of the personal shedow. The confusion they cause by being neglected can make then threatening and can involve the subject in norally difficult situations. They are parts of the total personality, and really ought not be missing. Their lack causes what Jung calls "a feeling of noral resonant," i.e., a disparaging of such aspects and a tendency to project this disparagement upon those in whom these aspects are well developed and differentiated, thus regarding these people as norally inferior to onceelf. The re-integration (never complete) of these aspects of the personality deepens one's self-knowledge and one's humanity. It humanizes one through nodesty and the correction of one's hypocritical judgments of those upon whom the shadow has been projected.

Interesting exercise: Compare the attitude of Jesus with that of the Pharisees toward the publicans and sinners. The Pharisecs had a shadow problem which they refused to come to terms with. This refusal is at the basis of their hypocrisy.

- 8. Why does Jung disdain the accusation of fanciful mysticism levelled at his ideas (footnote 5, p. 83)?
- 9. What are the two extreme dangers attendant upon the assimilation of the unconscious? What do they have in common?
- 10. What does Jung mean by the distinction between image and object? Why is it so important?
- 11. What is psychic inflation? What two forms can it take? What do these two forms have in common?
- 12. How is the relation of conscious and personal psyche to collective unconscious similar to the relation of individual to society?

- 13. What is the key qualification according to which the negotiation of the collective psyche brings about a legitimate or illegitimate enlarging of the scope of one's personality? Why is it of such crucial importance to make and keep a clear distinction between personal and collective contents?
- 14. In what way is the illegitimate assimilation of the collective psyche particularly illusory with respect to the specifically noral pair of opposites, good and evil?
- 15. What does Jung mean by the statement, p. 100, that "the element of differentiation is the individual?"
- 16. Why is it true that the norality of society is in inverse ratio to its size?
- 17. What is the persona? What is its relation to true individuality? What happens to it in the course of negotiating the collective unconscious?
- 18. Jung mentions four ways in which the individual can react to the collapse of one's conscious attitude, only one of which is correct. Describe what he means by each of these four attitudes.
- 19. What is Jung's notion of the hero? How is heroisn distinguished from inflation? (Interesting exercise: compare Jung's notion of heroisn with Ernest Becker's in The Denial of Death).
- 20. What is individuation? How does it differ from individualism? How is it the central concept of Jungian psychology?
- 21. What does Jung mean by the compensatory relation of unconscious processes to the the conscious mind?
- 22. What is the Self? In what way does this notion exclude identification with either persona or collective psyche? Why is it a permanently supraordinate quantity?
- 23. Toward the end of this paper, Jung suggests the implications of what he has been saying for the moral problem of good and evil. What does he say?
- 24. What is the driving force of the unconscious? In what respects is it true to say that the unconscious is not working toward a deliberate and concerted plan?
- 25. What is psychic objectivity? What is its relation to the phenomenon of projection?

- 1. What is the difference between the ego and the self? How is it this distinction escaped pre-late-19th century philosophical and psychological thought?
- 2. What is the shadow? What is its relation to the ego? to the personal unconscious? What noral problem does it present to the ego-personality? Why is it so heavily laden with affect? What are its two dimensions?
- 3. What are projections? Projections of the shadow? Projections of the contransmual archetype?
- 4. What is the anima? What is her primary symbolic manifestation? How is such a manifestation changed?
- 5. What is the animus? His primary symbolic manifestation?
- 6. How are anima and animus respectively expressed in the psychology of men and women?

 i.e., how does their "animosity" differ?
- 7. What are the positive aspects of anima and animus? What is their function in psychic integration? Why do they remain autonomous despite this integration?
- 8. Why is it casier to gain insight into the shadow than into the anima or animus?

1. What are the specific problems of the stage of life called youth according to Jung? Of that stage called middle life? How does Jung understand these stages in terms of the relations between the ego and the unconscious?

"The Structure of the Paycho" (begin p. 27, "There are, as we know . . . ")

- 1. What evidence does Jung present that we ought not restrict everything psychic to to the ego?
- 2. What are the two basic areas which Jung differentiates in what he calls "the unconscious"?
- 3. How does Jung describe the language or expression of the collective unconscious? How does this differ from the expression of the personal unconscious? From that of the ego?

4. What are archetypes?

5. What are the relations obtaining between the expressions of the collective unconscious and the environmental conditions of the subject?

6. What is "participation mystique"?

- 7. What archotypes, according to Jung, constitute "the supreme regulating principles of religious and even of political life"? Explain.
- 8. As opposed to Freud, Jung says, "I have found that a rational understanding of these things in no way detracts from their value; on the contrary, it helps us not only to feel but to gain insight into their incense significance." Explain.

9. What implications does such a view have for Jung's evaluation of Catholician?

10. What relation does Jung posit between religion and philosophy, on the one hand, and the collective unconscious on the other?

"Instinct and the Unconscious"

1. Jung says: "The question of instinct cannot be dealt with psychologically without considering the archetypes, because at bottom they determine one another." Explain the relation between instinct and archetype according to Jung. (Re camended supplementary reading: "On the Nature of the Psyche" in Vol. 8 of Jung's Collected Morks).

2. What distinguishes an instinct from a compulsion?

3. What is the connection of intuition with instinct and archetype?

"The Concept of the Collective Unconscious"

- 1. What are complexes? What is the essential difference between a complex and an archetype?
- 2. How many archotypes are there? Is there any way of determining an answer to this question?
- 3. How is an archetypo activated? How can such activation spark a neurosis -- i.e., under what conditions?

4. How are archetypos a priori?

- 5. In what way does Jung's notion of the collective unconscious differ from the positions of Freud and Adler on the psycho?
- 6. How does Jung counter the objection that the concept of the collective unconscious is "nysticism"?
- 7. Why is it dangerous to deny the significance of archetypal notifs?
- 8. How are dram elements determined to be archetypal or not?

9. What is active imagination? How is it related to dreams?

"The Relations between the Ego and the Unconscious"

- 1. It is obvious that Jung's notion of the personal unconscious is also different from Freud's. In what respects is this the case?
- 2. What is the transference? What is its function?
- 3. What does Jung mean by calling the dream a highly objective product of the psyche?
- 4. In what way does the example arguing for a "collective unconscious? given in section 1 point to matters of concern for the psychologist of religion? (N.B. suggested reading on the implicit religious significance of the transference: Ernest Becker, The Denial of Doath, Ch. 7, "The Spell Cast by Persons—the Nexus of Unfreedom")
- 5. P. 78: "There is no a priori reason why it should not be just as possible that the unconscious tendencies have a goal beyond the human person, as that the unconscious can'do nothing byt wish.' Experience alone can decide which is the nore suitable hypothesis." How does this seemingly simply statement embody the essence of the departure of Jung from the Frondian point of view?
- 6. P. 80. Comment: Reflected in Jung's description of the God-image produced by the dreams of his patient is his pre-1940 idea that archetypes are an inherited deposit and thus "archaic" or "primitive." In the light of his later work, "basic" or "elemental" would be more accurate than "archaic," "primordial" than "primitive." Perhaps "aboriginal" would do. That this god-image is "infinitely far from the conscious idea of God" does not mean that it corresponds to "the archaic conception of a nature-damen," which, after all, was also a conscious idea of God. It is also quite in Resping with some elements of the Judaco-Christian idea of God. It seems to reflect, e.g., "Hide me in the shadow of your wings." Inacdiately, then, Jung goes to Hebrow and Christian notions to describe it furthers "God is spirit," runh, wind, breath. The problem may rather have been with the conscious idea of God.
- 7. The assimilation of repressed contents of the personal unconscious, pp. 80f. Jung is here dealing with the integration of the personal chadow, and the description he gives of it is well worth serious attention. It is probably one of the best descriptions-in-brief in all his work of this aspect of individuation. Necessarily involved in ego-development is the exclusion of certain aspects of the personality. These aspects contribute to the formation of the personal shedow. The confusion they cause by being neglected can make then threatening and can involve the subject in norally difficult situations. They are parts of the total personality, and really ought not be missing. Their lack causes what Jung calls "a feeling of moral resentment," i.e., a disparaging of such aspects and a tendency to project this disparagement upon those in when these aspects are well developed and differentiated, thus regarding these people as norally inferior to encoulf. The re-integration (never complete) of these aspects of the personality deepons one's solf-knowledge and one's humanity. It humanizes one through modesty and the correction of one's hypocritical judgments of those upon when the shadow has been projected.

Interesting exercise: Compare the attitude of Jesus with that of the Pharisees toward the publicans and sinners. The Pharisees had a shedow problem which they refused to come to terms with. This refusal is at the basis of their hypocrisy.

- 8. Why does Jung disdein the accusation of funciful mysticism levelled at his ideas (footnote 5, p. 83)?
- 9. What are the two extreme dangers attendant upon the assimilation of the unconscious? What do they have in common?
- 10. What does Jung mean by the distinction between image and object? Why is it so important?
- 11. What is psychic inflation? What two forms can it take? What do these two forms have in common?
- 12. How is the relation of conscious and personal psyche to collective unconscious similar to the relation of individual to society?

Theo 196B: Jung

- 13. What is the key qualification according to which the negotiation of the collective psyche brings about a legitimate or illegitimate enlarging of the scope of one's personality? Why is it of such crucial importance to make and keep a clear distinction between personal and collective contents?
- 14. In what way is the illogitimate assimilation of the collective psyche particularly illusory with respect to the specifically noral pair of opposites, good and evil?
- 15. What does Jung mean by the statement, p. 100, that "the element of differentiation is the individual?"
- 16. Why is it true that the norality of society is in inverse ratio to its size?
- 17. What is the persona? What is its relation to true individuality? What happens to it in the course of negotiating the collective uncorscious?
- 18. Jung nontions four ways in which the individual con react to the collapse of one's conscious attitude, only one of which is correct. Describe what he means by each of these four attitudes.
- 19. What is Jung's notion of the horo? How is horoism distinguished from inflation? (Interesting exercise: compare Jung's notion of horoism with Ernest Becker's in The Denial of Death).
- 20. What is individuation? How does it differ from individualism? How is it the central concept of Jungian psychology?
- 21. What does Jung mean by the componentory relation of unconscious processes to the the conscious mind?
- 22. What is the Solf? In what way does this notion exclude i entification with either persons or collective psyche? Why is it a personently supraordinate quantity?
- 23. Toward the end of this paper. Jung auggests the implications of what he has been saying for the noral problem of good and evil. What does he say?
- 24. What is the driving force of the uncorncious? In what respects is it true to say that the unconscious is not working toward a deliberate and concerted plan?
- 25. What is psychic objectivity? What is its relation to the phenomenon of projection?

- 1. What is the difference between the ego and the self? How is it this distinction escaped pre-late-19th century philosophical and psychological thought?
- 2. What is the shedow? What is its relation to the ego? to the personal unconscious? What moral problem does it present to the ego-personality? Why is it so heavily leden with affect? What are its two dimensions?
- 3. What are projections? Projections of the shedow? Projections of the contrasexual archetypo?
- 4. What is the anima? What is her primary symbolic manifestation? How is such a manifestation changed?
- 5. What is the animum? His primary symbolic manifestation?
- 6. How are anima and animus respectively expressed in the psychology of men and women?
 i.e., how does their "animosity" differ?
- 7. What are the positive aspects of anima and animus? What is their function in psychic integration? Why do they remain autonomous despite this integration?
- 8. Why is it easier to gain insight into the shadow than into the anima or animus?

1... What are the specific problems of the stage of life called youth according to Jung? Of that stage called middle life? How does Jung understand these stages in terms of the relations between the ego and the unconscious?

"The Structure of the Psyche" (bogin p. 27, "There are, as we know . . . ")

- 1. What evidence does Jung present that we ought not restrict everything psychic to to the ego?
- 2. What are the two basic areas which Jung differentiates in what he calls "the unconscious"?
- 3. How does Jung describe the language or expression of the collective unconscious? How does this differ from the expression of the personal unconscious? From that of the ego?

4. What are archetypes?

5. What are the relations obtaining between the expressions of the collective unconscious and the environmental conditions of the subject?

6. What is "participation mystique"?

- 7. What archotypes, according to Jung, constitute "the supreme regulating principles of religious and even of political life"? Explain.
- 8. As opposed to Freud, Jung cays, "I have found that a rational understanding of these things in no way detracts from their value; on the contrary, it helps us not only to feel but to gain insight into their immense significance." Explain.

9. What implications does such a view have for Jung's evaluation of Catholicism?

10. What relation does Jung posit between religion and philosophy, on the one hand, and the collective unconscious on the other?

"Instinct and the Unconscious"

1. Jung says: "The question of instinct cannot be dealt with psychologically without considering the archetypes, because at bottom they determine one another." Explain the relation between instinct and archetype according to Jung. (Resonanced supplementary reading: "On the Nature of the Psyche" in Vol. 8 of Jung's Collected Forks).

2. What distinguishes an instinct from a compulsion?

3. What is the connection of intuition with instinct and archetype?

"The Concept of the Collective Unconscious"

- 1. What are complexes? What is the resential difference between a complex and an archotype?
- 2. How many archotypes are thore? Is there any way of determining an answer to this question?
- 3. How is an archetype activated? How can such activation spark a neurosis-i.e., under what conditions?

4. How are archetypes a priori?

- 5. In what way does Jung's notion of the collective unconscious differ from the positions of Freud and Adler on the psyche?
- 6. How does Jung counter the objection that the concept of the collective unconceious is "nysticism"?
- 7. Why is it dangerous to deny the significance of archetypal notifs?
- 8. How are dream elements determined to be archetypal or not?

9. That is solive issuff allow? Bor is it related to drooms?

This Polobions lowned the Tgo and the Uncompaionar

- 1. It is obvious that Jung's notion of the personal unconscious is also different from Traul's. In that perpose is this the case?
- 2. Not is the trariforonce? What is its function?
- 3. That does Jury mean by calling the dream a highly objective product of the psyche?
- 4. In what may does the comple arguing for a "collective unconscious? given in section i point to inttern of concern for the psychologist of religion? (N.B. suggested realing on the implicit religious significance of the transference: Ernest Becker, The Davied of Ottoth, Ch. 7, "the Spell Cent by Fernons—the Nexus of Unfreedom")
- 5. P. 73: "There is no a priori reason why it should not be just as possible that the unconscient tendencies have a goal beyond the human person, as that the unconscious can'de nothing byt with." Emperionce alone can decide which is the more suitable hypothesia." Not dest has usualizely simply statement cabedy the essence of the departure of Jung from the frankian point of viou?
- 6. P. Co. Compat: Reflected in Jung's description of the God-image produced by the dress of his patient is his pre-1940 idea that anchetypes are an inhomited descriptional than "archaic" or "electric" would be note accurate than "archaic," "princedial" than "printive." Perhaps "aboriginal" would do. That this god-image is "infinitely for from the conscious idea of God" does not rean that it corresponds to "the crease conception of a natura-daction," which, after all, was also a conscious idea of God. It is also quite in Tacping with some elements of the Judaco-Christian idea of God. It come to reflect, e.g., "Hide he in the shador of your unger." Incidiately, then, Jung god to Hebrer and Christian notions to describe it further: "God is spirit," rush, wire, but the Tacping with the problem may rather have been with the conscious idea of God.
- 7. The mosimilation of represed contents of the personal unconscious, pp. 80f. Jung is here dealing with the integration of the personal shadow, and the description he gives of it is cell corth serious attention. It is probably one of the best demoniptions—in-brief in all him work of this aspect of individuation. Necessarily involved in ego-development is the exclusion of certain aspects of the personality. These aspects contribute to the formation of the personal shadow. The confusion they cause by being neglected can make then threatening and can involve the subject in according difficult situations. They are parts of the total personality, and really ought not be missing. Their lack causes what Jung calls "a feeling of moral resontant," i.e., a disparaging of such aspects and a tendency to project this disparagement upon those in when these aspects are well developed and differentiated, thus regarding these people as norally inferior to onesolf. The re-integration (never complete) of these aspects of the personality despens one's solf-knowledge and one's humanity. It humanises one through nedesty and the correction of one's hypecritical judgments of those upon when the shadow has been projected.

Interesting overeion: Compare the attitude of Jesus with that of the Phariscos toward the publicans and simmers. The Phariscos had a shadou problem which they refused to come to towns with. This refusal is at the basis of their hypocrisy.

8. Why does Jung disdain the accusation of fanciful mystician levelled at his ideas (footnote 5, p. 83)?

9. What are the two extreme defificing attendant upon the sessimilation of the unconscious? What do they have in common?

10. What does Jung mean by the distinction between image and object? Why is it so important?

11. What is psychic inflation? What two forms can it take? What do these two forms have in common?

12. How is the relation of conscious and personal psyche to collective unconscious similar to the relation of inlividual to society?

- 13. What is the key qualification according to which the negotiation of the collective payche brings about a legitimate or illegitimate enlarging of the scope of one's personality? Why is it of such crucial importance to make and keep a clear distinction between personal and collective contents?
- 14. In what way is the illegificate assimilation of the collective payche particularly illusory with respect to the specifically moral pair of opposites, good and evil?
- 15. What does Jung mean by the statement, p. 100, that "the element of differentiation is the individual?"
- 16. Why is it true that the norality of society is in inverse ratio to its size?
- 17. What is the persona? What is its relation to true individuality? What happens to it in the course of negotiating the collective unconscious?
- 18. Jung pentions four ways in which the individual can react to the collapse of one's conscious attitude, only one of which is correct. Describe what he means by each of those four attitudes.
- 19. What is Jung's notion of the horo? How is hereign distinguished from inflation? (Interesting exercise: compare Jung's notion of hereign with Ernest Becker's in The Denict of Death).
- 20. What is individuation? How does it differ from individualism? How is it the central concept of Jungian psychology?
- 21. They does Jung mean by the empensatory relation of unconscious processes to the the constitue mind?
- 22. What is the self? In that tay does this notion exclude identification with either persons or collective psyche? Why is it a pextanently supraordinate quantity?
- 23. Togged the ord of this paper, Jung suggests the implications of what he has been saying for the noral problem of good and evil. What does he say?
- 24. What is the driving force of the unconscious? In what respects is it true to say that the unconscious is not working toward a deliborate and concerted plan?
- 25. What is paychic objectivity? What is its relation to the phenomenon of projection?

- 1. What is the difference between the ego and the self? How is it this distinction escaped pre-late-19th century philosophical and psychological thought?
- 2. What is the shedow? What is its relation to the ego? to the personal unconscious? What noral problem does it present to the ego-personality? Thy is it so heavily lader with affect? What are its two dimensions?
- 3. What are projections? Projections of the shedow? Projections of the contrasonual archetyps?
- 4. What is the anima? What is her principle symbolic confidention? Now is such a manifestation charged?
- 5. What is the aminus? His primary cycholic manifestation?
- 6. How are anima and animus respectively expressed in the psychology of non-enti.e., how does their "eminosity" differ?
- 7. What are the positive aspects of aring and animus? What is Madir function in rays do integration? Why do they remain autonomous decreits this integration?
- 8. Why is it easier to gain insight into the chalou them into the anime or all us?

1... What are the specific problems of the stage of life called youth according to Jung? Of that stage called middle life? How does Jung understand these stages in terms of the relations between the ego and the unconscious?

"The Structure of the Psyche" (begin p. 27, "There are, as we know . . . ")

- 1. What evidence does Jung present that we ought not restrict everything psychic to to the ego?
- 2. What are the two basic areas which Jung differentiates in what he calls "the unconscious"?
- 3. How does Jung describe the language or expression of the collective unconscious? How does this differ from the expression of the personal unconscious? From that of the ego?

4. What are archetypes?

5. What are the relations obtaining between the expressions of the collective unconscious and the environmental conditions of the subject?

6. What is "participation nystique"?

- 7. What archotypes, according to Jung, constitute "the supreme regulating principles of religious and oven of political life"? Explain.
- 8. As opposed to Freud, Jung says, "I have found that a rational understanding of these things in no way detracts from their value; on the contrary, it helps us not only to feel but to gain insight into their immense significance." Explain.

9. What implications does such a view have for Jung's evaluation of Catholicism?

10. What relation does Jung posit between religion and philosophy, on the one hand, and the collective unconscious on the other?

"Instinct and the Unconscious"

1. Jung says: "The question of instinct cannot be dealt with psychologically without considering the archetypes, because at bottom they determine one another." Explain the relation between instinct and archetype according to Jung. (Recommended supplementary reading: "On the Nature of the Psyche" in Vol. 8 of Jung's Collected Forks).

2. What distinguishes an instinct from a compulsion?

3. What is the connection of intuition with instinct and archotype?

"The Concept of the Collective Unconscious"

- 1. What are complexes? What is the essential difference between a complex and an archetype?
- 2. How many archetypes are thore? Is there any may of determining an answer to this question?
- 3. How is an archetype activated? How can such activation spark a neurosis--i.e., under what conditions?

4. How are archetypes a priori?

- 5. In what way does Jung's notion of the collective unconscious differ from the positions of Freud and Adler on the psyche?
- 6. How does Jung counter the objection that the concept of the collective unconscious is "mysticism"?
- 7. Why is it dangerous to deny the significance of archetypal notifs?
- 8. How are drown elements determined to be archetypal or not?

9. What is active imagination? How is it related to dreams?

"The Relations between the Ego and the Unconscious"

- 1. It is obvious that Jung's notion of the personal unconscious is also different from Freud's. In what respects is this the case?
- 2. What is the transference? What is its function?
- 3. What does Jung mean by calling the dream a highly objective product of the psyche?
- 4. In what way does the example arguing for a "collective unconscious? given in section 1 point to matters of concern for the psychologist of religion? (N.B. suggested reading on the implicit religious significance of the transference: Ernest Becker, The Denial of Doath, Ch. 7, "The Spell Cast by Persons—the Nexus of Unfreedom")
- 5. P. 78: "There is no a priori reason why it should not be just as possible that the unconscious tendencies have a goal beyond the human person, as that the unconscious can'do nothing byt wish.' Experience alone can decide which is the nore suitable hypothesis." How does this seemingly simply statement embody the essence of the departure of Jung from the Froudien point of view?
- 6. P. 80. Comment: Reflected in Jung's description of the God-image produced by the drears of his patient is his pre-1940 idea that archetypes are an inhorited deposit and thus "archaic" or "prinitive." In the light of his later work, "bacic" or "elemental" would be more accurate than "archaic," "prinordial" than "primitive." Perhaps "aboriginal" would do. That this god-image is "imfinitely for from the conscious idea of God" does not mean that it corresponds to "the archaic conception of a nature-daenon, which, after all, was also a conscious idea of God. It is also quite in "soping with some elements of the Judaeo-Christian idea of God. It seems to raflect, e.g., "Hide he in the shadow of your wings." Immediately, then, Jung goes to Hebrew and Christian notions to describe it further: "God is spirit," rush, wind, breath. The problem may rather have been with the conscious idea of God.
- 7. The assimilation of repressed contents of the parsonal unconscious, pp. 80f. Jung is here dealing with the integration of the personal shadow, and the description he gives of it is well worth serious attention. It is probably one of the best descriptions-in-brief in all his work of this aspect of individuation. Necessarily involved in czo-development is the exclusion of certain aspects of the personality. These aspects contribute to the fernation of the personal shadow. The confusion they cause by being neglected can make then threatening and can involve the subject in norally difficult situations. They are parts of the total personality, and really ought not be missing. Their lack causes what Jung calls "a feeling of moral resentment," i.e., a disparaging of such aspects and a tendency to project this disparagement upon those in when these aspects are well developed and differentiated, thus regarding those people as norally inferior to oncoelf. The re-integration (never complete) of these aspects of the personality deepens one's self-knowledge and one's humanity. It humanizes one through modesty and the correction of one's hypocritical judgments of those upon when the shadow has been projected.

Interesting exercise: Compare the attitude of Jesus with that of the Pharisecs toward the publicans and sinners. The Pharisecs had a shadow problem which they refused to come to terms with. This refusal is at the basis of their hypocrisy.

- 8. Why does Jung dicasin the accusation of fanciful mysticism levelled at his ideas (footnote 5, p. 83)?
- 9. What are the two extreme dangers attendant upon the assimilation of the unconscious? What do they have in occnon?
- 10. What does Jung mean by the distinction between image and object? Why is it so important?
- 11. What is psychic inflation? What two forms can it take? What do these two forms have in common?
- 12. How is the relation of conscious and personal psyche to collective unconscious similar to the relation of individual to society?

- 13. What is the key qualification according to which the negotiation of the collective psyche brings about a legitimate or illegitimate enlarging of the scope of one's personality? Why is it of such crucial importance to make and keep a clear distinction between personal and collective contents?
- 14. In what way is the illegitimate assimilation of the collective psyche particularly illusory with respect to the specifically noral pair of opposites, good and evil?
- 15. What does Jung mean by the statement, p. 100, that "the element of differentiation is the individual?"
- 16. Why is it true that the norality of society is in inverse ratio to its size?
- 17. What is the persona? What is its relation to true individuality? What happens to it in the course of negotiating the collective unconscious?
- 18. Jung mentions four ways in which the individual can react to the collapse of one's conscious attitude, only one of which is correct. Describe what he means by each of these four attitudes.
- 19. What is Jung's notion of the hero? How is heroism distinguished from inflation? (Interesting exercise: compare Jung's notion of heroism with Ernest Becker's in The Denial of Death).
- 20. What is individuation? How does it differ from individualism? How is it the central concept of Jungian psychology?
- 21. What does Jung mean by the componsatory relation of unconscious processes to the the conscious mind?
- 22. What is the Self? In what way does this notion exclude itentification with either persona or collective psyche? Why is it a pernanently supraordinate quantity?
- 23. Toward the end of this paper, Jung suggests the implications of what he has been saying for the noral problem of good and evil. What does he say?
- 24. What is the driving force of the unconscious? In what respects is it true to say that the unconscious is not working toward a deliberate and concerted plan?
- 25. What is psychic objectivity? What is its relation to the phenomenon of projection?

- 1. What is the difference between the ego and the self? How is it this distinction escaped pre-late-19th century philosophical and psychological thought?
- 2. What is the shadow? What is its relation to the ego? to the personal unconscious? What noral problem does it present to the ego-personality? Why is it so heavily laden with affect? What are its two dimensions?
- 3. What are projections? Projections of the shadow? Projections of the contranexual archetype?
- 4. What is the anima? What is her primary symbolic manifestation? How is such a manifestation changed?
- 5. What is the animus? His primary symbolic manifestation?
- 6. How are anima and animus respectively expressed in the psychology of men and women?

 1.e., how does their "animosity" differ?
- 7. What are the positive aspects of anima and animus? What is their function in paychic integration? Why do they remain autonomous despite this integration?
- 8. Why is it easier to gain insight into the shedow than into the anima or animus?

- 1. The important this is a fractilitie and thought is prought have to us very observely in the case of C.C. Jung. Using the first three chapters of Jung's auto (comply, this take the origine in his cas life as a child and youth of rancing? Recharge of his paychology and capacially of its significance for exceptions of poligion.
- 2. Unity any reterials you have need and anything from the class lectures, progress a succinct, inweductory, and general statement of Jung's notion of the atmeture of the house payche.
- Je Describe the general contours of the process of individuation according to Jung-its principal steps and its relation to the stages of life. Show how the selection of individuation as a control topic of his science necessarily imposed on Jung a method quite different from Frond's.

- 1. ఎద్దే, మందుకుండానించిన ప్రాంత్రం ప్రాంత్ ప్రాంత్రం ప్రాంత్ ప్రాంత్రం ప్రాంత్ ప్రాంత్రం ప్రాంత్ ప్రాంత్ ప్రాంత్ ప్రాంత్ ప్రాంత్ ప్రాంత్రం ప్రాంత్రం ప్రాంత్రం ప్రాంత్రం ప్రాంత్రం ప్
- The translation, is no relative relative that the along lesimon, the relative translative of Jung's nellect of the structure of the structure
- It has been a sound combourn of the spoots of individuation according to dual the principal state, and its relation to the stages of life. Show her the believion of individuation as a control topic of his science recording to one of June 2 method quite different from France.