

D0159

Sept. 20, Outline:

1. Review: 3 forms of religion

2. The notion of human personality development

a. ~~ego~~ (No. 1) as differentiation
~~← skills~~ ~~= world~~ ~~→~~ ego (No. 1) as differentiation

-- No. 2 as integration,
context.

b.

b. The development of feelings

160,
Sept. 20,
1

1. Review: three forms of religion
2. The notion of human development: a. ego as differentiation ^{skills} worlds
3. The context of religious experience b. No. 2 as integration

1. We ended Friday's class with a simple listing

of three kinds of religion:

a) the religion that Jung called faith,

a religion of Personality No. 1,

cut off from the flow of experience, identified w. his father
^{therefore} and w/o any depth of conviction;

b) the religion that Jung identified w. the knowledge of Personality No. 2,

who was in immediate contact with God,

but where there was, we argued,

no sufficient discrimination

d) what impels and inspirations and ideas + symbols

that came from Personality No. 2

could be truly considered as from God,

or in harmony with God's will,

there resulted a notion of God
from this a notion of God
as himself both good
and evil, light "darkness, and what came from elsewhere,

as having an us shadow, from myself or the world

as having a responsibility or the value of being associated
with the human or the shadow, the demoniac; what
is being to change with the shadow, also an ^{shadow} ^{sister}; what
enters into human experience at the level

d) Personality No. 2,

but that penetrates,

transforms,

or unifies us at this level,

that melts the heart of stone

and replaces it with a human heart,

that stretches one's being from the depths ^{violent + lustful}

so that one is freed from selfish, impulsive

160,
Sept. 20,
2

and is enabled, freed, to love in a self-transcending way,
that ~~but~~ heals the wounds of a broken heart
and forgives the sinfulness of a selfish heart,
and frees, liberates, the total personality
from the depths → transforms
so that one can ^{more & more} pour himself out
in the loving service of his fellow men
✓ women.

This -- religion No. 3, if you will --
is authentic religion.

It touches the person at the depths,
but it transforms those depths by conversion
and it unifies them, resolving the conflicts

of No. 2,

and it does so, not by reconciling evil with good
in some kind of impossible manner,
but by turning evil into good,

replacing one's sinfulness with God's grace,
overcoming evil with a greater good,
healing one's angers, hurts,
one's shadow,

turning it into light,

freeing one's rigidity from its fears,
and truly making a temple out of the body
and the depths --

a temple where God can live more & more completely.*

* But the "God" in this religion is very different from Jung's God.

160,
Sept. 20,
3

This week we shall have to say something about this religion and how it differs from Jung's,

but also about how it is not the lifeless thing that Jung found in his father

To do this, however, I have to try to precise the notions of No. 1 & No. 2.

2. We begin, then, with the notion of human personality development, and we consider development ^{progressive} as a matter of differentiation at the level of No. 1 and ^{progressive} integration at the level of No. 2
-- of various functions and capacities

of the human personality are differentiated to produce the ego and integrated to influence the dev. of No. 2.

for the notion of differentiation, we said already that infants are in the state of Personality No. 2 almost or even entirely exclusively.

In the infant there is an entirely undifferentiated set of capacities for various human operations, and the development of the person is first of all a differentiation, a sorting out, of these various capacities.

So, for instance,

we begin in our years of infancy almost unconsciously

to develop the skills that will enable us

to walk, to move our limbs, to gauge spatial distances,

to use the capacities that will soon make us
users of language, etc.

The development of skills

consists in a series of adaptations to new objects or circumstances:
in each adaptation there are involved
^{of previously learned operations}
assimilation, to the new object
and by the ready adjustment of previously learned
operations to the new situation.

E.g., walking
 ~ climbing the stairs
 ~ descending the stairs.

The process of adaptation to ever more objects & situations
involves both an increasing differentiation of operations,
so that we are capable of ever more & more operations,
and an increasing combination of differentiated operations
in ever varying manners.

So, as skills develop, mastery is achieved.

And as mastery is achieved, what Jung calls the ego is formed.

The ego is constituted ^{by} in part by mastery. (also by feelings, as
Notice then how the ego rises out of the flow of experiences ^{we will} see).

that is Personality No. 2,

the base,

the ground,

the part of us ever in immediate relation to the world

^{inner + outer}
^{of sense, of} feeling, of imagination and memory,
Association and emotion, need and desire.

The base does not go away, but it is no longer the whole story.

160,
Sept. 20,
5

Rather, a person is emerging who is at home
in newly learned skills and habits,
and this sense of "being at home," of familiarity,
reverberates back upon the base,
the ground,
the immediate contact,
the flow,
of No. 2.

One feels good, experience is gratifying,
when No. 1 has done something well
or learned something new,
is rewarded for having done so,
encouraged for its efforts.

And on the contrary,
experience, the flow, the ground, No. 2,
is discouraged by failure,
but even more so by the absence of
the right atmosphere of love
and encouragement and care
that are provided by the significant others in one's life.

Differentiation is natural,
and causes no conflict in itself
between No. 1 & No. 2,
but it does set up the relation between them

160,
Sept. 20,
6

that will continue all one's life
and will undergo many changes
as one continues to develop.

The capacity for differentiation

is greatly enhanced by the learning of language,
primarily for it is language that introduces the person
into a far larger world than that of the infant.

The skills the infant learned were skills
that helped one in the world of immediacy.

Language introduces one into the world mediated by meaning.

The operations of the infant

involve present objects:

seeing, hearing, touching.

But language introduces one into a world

that consists not merely of what is present
but also of what is absent,

not merely of what is now,

but of what once was

but no longer is,

and of what is not yet

but could be,

not merely of the actual

but of the possible,

the ideal,

and the fantastic.

160,
Sept. 20,

7

As the child learns to speak,
he/she moves out of a world confined to immediate
surroundings
and into a far larger world
brought to him/her
by stories and history,
by the common sense of his/her community,
with education,
and eventually, by the pages of literature,
the labors of scholars,
the investigations of scientists,
the experience of saints,
the ruminations of philosophers &
theologians.

The development of skills
in the world mediated by meaning
is quite a different matter
from the development of skills
in the world of immediacy.

For it is now a matter of coming to feel at home
in various realms of meaning, in various worlds
and not just in one's play pen: mediated by
meaning,

Lösergan:
his realms in the common sense realm of meaning
of meaning of one's culture,
his differentiation
in the world
mediated by in the very different realm of meaning
meaning of theory and science,

160,

Sept. 20,

8

in the realm of meaning that is art,
or historical scholarship & literature,
in the distinct realm of meaning
that is human interiority,
and finally in the realm of meaning
that is religion.

Coming to feel at home in these realms of meaning
occurs through differentiation,
and diff'n follows the same basic pattern
as in the world of immediacy:

adaptation by assimilation and adjustment. C.S. ^{E.g.} to science.

But the important point

is that there are now many different worlds
that are established

by the different mediations of meaning,
and one's ego will be a master of
the worlds he/she is at home in,
moves in with ease,
feels familiarity with,
and has obtained a certain mastery over.

The process of differentiation goes on all through one's life,
and the important point

is to keep the differentiations
in harmony with the underlying undertones of No. 2.

160,
Sept. 20,
29

Conflict can and does develop

if it is time for a certain differentiation
and one doesn't make it;

or if it is not time for a certain differentiation
and one does try to force it.

It is No. 2 that "knows" what it is time for,
that urges development in some directions,
isn't ready in others,
that offers the images, feelings, associations
that will propel & foster or
curtail and slow down

the pace of development and differentiation.

No. 2 is not, strictly speaking, unconscious.

It is the ~~the~~ living flow of experience
that is the undertow of all we do in the
superstructure .

of the various worlds mediated by meaning
that we operate in.

It tells one when one is at home,

when one is in territory one shouldn't be in yet,

when one has to move into new territory
even tho one is afraid,

when to hold back,

when to move forward,

when simply to enjoy where one is .

160,
Sept. 20,
10

The problem is, of course,

that No. 2 does this only under two conditions:
first, that No. 1 is in contact with

this pulsating flow of experience,
this undertow,

and second, that No. 2 is itself healthy,

able to give direction,

not blocked by fear,

driven by anger,

rendered trivial by boredom,

or turned in on itself in the pursuit of
mere pleasure and gratification.

becoming the repository of

No. 2 is capable of being hurt, wounded, traumas,
in many ways,

and where it is,

No. 1 won't feel at home anywhere,

even in the worlds where it performs well

and has achieved a high degree of differentiation.

the dumping ground

Then No. 2 is hurt, wounded, blocked, driven, frustrated,

it cannot be trusted

to give direction and guidance

to the ego. For it is not integrated.

And so equally important to the development of personality (is) w/., wh.
that occurs at the level of
is the integration of ^{diff'rent} No. 2. It provides the underlying contexts of

160,
Sept. 20,
"

Differentiation) No. 1 can go on at a rapid pace,
but if No. 2 is not keeping up,
not integrating the new developments
into its rhythms in the flow of experience, and with
we end up with the mad scientist ^{the other differentiations,}
- - highly differentiated,
but hardly happy
and quite dangerous - -
or with a Nietzsche,
brilliantly intuitive
in the areas of philosophy and literature,
but insane,
or with the despondent artist,
delicately nuanced in sensitivity's
proximity to meaning,
but a wreck in his own life.

Development, then,
is, as Jung insists,
a matter of the reciprocal relation) No. 1 + No. 2,
but the essential point
is that the dev. of No. 1 is by differentiation
and of No. 2 by integration .

We have spoken today of the dev. of skills.
Next time, we will speak of the dev. of feelings.